

# Retro-stalemate in Proca Retractor <br> by Vlaicu Crişan 

In his article „It is the King who mates! Tricky AntiCircean play in the Defensive Retractor", available on Thomas Brand's blog, the German expert Wolfgang Dittmann mentioned several methods for blocking square e8. The fourth motive is the „decoy of a black piece on to e8 with the obligation of avoiding retro-stalemate".

The first example of this motive is presented below.

1) Andreas Thoma - P1247359

5th HM, Die Schwalbe 254/2012

1.Ke1xpf2 [+wKe1] f3-f2+ 2.Ke1xRf1 [+wKe1] Rf2-f1+ 3.Kd2xSe3 [+wKe1] Rf1-f2+ 4.Ke1-d2 Rf2f1+5.Kd3xRe4 [+wKe1] c5-c4+ 6.Kd2-d3 Rf1-f2+ 7.Ke1-d2 Rf2-f1+ 8.Kf4xSg3 [+wKe1]!!

This is the key position: White placed his King in check. Black can't retract 8...R~-e4+ since white would be retro-stalemate, having no further retraction at his disposal. This is actually the whole reason behind the uncapture of the 2 bSs on e3 and g3! In order to avoid the stalemate, Black has no choice but play 8... Re8-e4+! Now 9.Kf5-f4 is legalized by 9...Rg8-e8+. The forward mate can be delivered: 1.Kg5\#.

As Wolfgang Dittmann wrote in the aforementioned article: „A glorious idea that, for the first time, demonstrates the positional characteristics of a retro-stalemate, as a means of such a decoy in the genre of AntiCirce Proca".

The article concludes with the following conclusion: „The most subtle forms of a decoy, and at the same time being the most demanding concepts, would no doubt be those that operate by means of a threat, or, respectively with applying retro-zugzwang. Then the technical means would be characterized by the absence of any self-check, similar to what happens in Andreas Thoma's composition. Whether such manoeuvres can be brought about seems to be in the lap of the gods, at least as our experience goes at the present."

Surprisingly, the raised challenge was solved one year later, as the following two examples will demonstrate. In the next composition, the retro-stalemate appears as a result of a white unpromotion.
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2) Andreas Thoma \& Klaus Wenda Die Schwalbe 2013 im Buch

(7+8) $-4 \& \# 1$ Proca Retractor AntiCirce type Cheylan
2) 1.Kg6xSf6 [+wKe1] Bg8-f7+ 2.ph3xRg4 [+wPg2] Rf4-g4+ 3.d7-d8=S!!

Now Black must retract 3 ...Se8-f6! legalizing the last white move $4 . \mathrm{Kf6-g6}$ by 4 ...Sg7-e8+, otherwise White would be again retrostalemate. The forward play is clear: 1.Kg5\#

In the next example, another unexpected retrostalemate occurs after white Kings gets himself in the corner.

## 3) Günther Weeth

3rd Prize, Die Schwalbe 262/2013
Dedicated to Wolfgang Dittmann's 8oth birthday

3) 1. Kf $2 \times x p g 3[+w K e 1] g 4-\mathrm{g} 3+2 . \mathrm{Ke} 2-\mathrm{f} 2$ f2$\mathrm{f} 1=\mathrm{B}+3$.Ke1-f1 f3-f2+ 4.Ke1xBf2 [+wKe1] Bg1(e3)-f2+ 5.Ke1xBf2 [+wKe1] Be3(g1)-f2+ 6.Kd3xRe4 [+wKe1] c5-c4+ 7.Kd2-d3 Bf2-e3+ 8.Ke1-d2 Be3-f2+ 9.Ka1xBa2 [+wKe1] b3-b2+ 10.a4-a5!!

In order to avoid the retro-stalemate, Black must play 10 ...Re8-e4! legalizing the position. Now after 11.Kb1-a1, Black could have played $11 \ldots$..Rc8-e8+ The forward mate is $1 . \mathrm{Kb} 2 \#$ It is interesting to note the similar uncapture of bRe4, like in Andreas Thoma's composition.
4) Klaus Wenda - P1247359 Die Schwalbe 266/2014

(3+9) -8 \& \#1 Proca Retractor AntiCirce No Forward Defense
4) Here we have also a logical try: 1.Ka3xRb3 [+wKe1]? Rb4-b3 2.h2-h3, but 2...Qe8-h5?? is not forced, since white could have captured a black piece on ,h' file.
1.Kf1xpg2 [+wKe1] g3-g2+ 2.Ke2-f1 Sf3-g1+ 3.Ke1-e2 Sg1-f3+ 4.Ke2xSf3 [ $+\mathrm{wKe1}$ ] g2-g1=S+ 5.Ke1-e2 Sg1-f3+ 6.Ka3xRb3 [+wKe1] Rb4-b3+ 7.h2-h3!!

White pawn h2 possible captures are now ruled out due to the illegal doubled pawns on , g' file. Black must retract 7...Qe8-h5, enabling 8.Kb3a3 Qa8-e8+. The forward mate is 1.Ka2\#

As a conclusion, an original submitted by Andreas Thoma for Quartz readers, whom I would like to thank very much for all the information provided for writing this small article.
5) The solution should be easy to find:
1.Ke1xRf1 [+wKe1] Rf2-f1+ 2.Kh1xRg2 [+wKe1]!! This move forces $2 . . . \mathrm{Qe} 8-\mathrm{e} 3$ ! Now 3.Kh2-h1 can be answered by $3 \ldots \mathrm{Qh} 8-\mathrm{e} 8+$. The forward mate is $1 . \mathrm{Kh} 3$ \#
5) Andreas Thoma

Original for Quartz

(1+7) $-3 \& \# 1$ Proca Retractor
AntiCirce No Forward Defense

## Proof games with Kobul Kings

by Paul Rãican

The genre was launched by the talented Bulgarian Diyan Kostadinov in 2010 at WCCC - Crete. Then, it was a Thematic Tournament in 2012 and a very good and convincing article in The Problemist, January and March 2014.

Definition: When a piece (not a Pawn) of his own side is captured, a King transforms into a Royal piece of the same type as the captured one. When the King is in the form of any Royal piece and there is a capture of one of the Pawns of his own side, he becomes a normal King again. Captures are illegal if they result in selfcheck by the transformed King.

Here we want to demonstrate the possibilities offered by the alliance Kobul Kings - Proof games.
A) N. Dupont

The Problemist, Jan 2014

(13+13) PG 16.5
Kobul Kings
A) 1.h4 d5 2.h5 d4 3.h6 d3 4.hxg7 dxc2 5.d4 a5 6.Be3 c1Q 7.Qxc1(bK=rQ) rQa4 8.Rh6 b5 9.Rb6 Sh6 10.g8Q Bg7 11.b4 Qxg8(wK=rQ) 12.rQc3 Sd7 13.rQc6 Sf8 14.rQe8 axb4(rQ=K) 15.Qc6 rQd1 16.a4 rQe1 $17 . \operatorname{axb} 5(r Q=K)$.

We have here a very appreciated theme by the French composer - Q Schnoebelen. The capture of two promoted Queens (one for each side) without having moved is justified by the necessity to exchange Kings places.
B) P. Rãican - original

(13+13)
Kobul Kings
PG 10
C) P. Rãican - original

(11+11)

The circuit of one King (or both, if possible) seems to be a good theme for a PG. The problem B is my first attempt:
B) 1.d3 Sh6 2.Bxh6(bK=rS) rSf6 3.g4 rSxg4 4.Bg2 gxh6(wK=rB) 5.rBc3 h5 6.rBxh8(rS=rR) Bh6+ 7.rBe5 Qg8 8.rBxc7(rR=K) d6 9.rBa5 Bf5 10.rBe1 Bxd3(rB=K), wK circuit.

In C we have more activity for bK :
C) 1.d3 Sh6 2.Bxh6(bK=rS) rSf6 3.94 rSxg4 4.Bh3+rSxh6(wK=rB) $5 . \mathrm{rBc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 5$ 6.rBxh8(rS=rR) rRb6 7.rBc3 Sc6 8.rBe1 rRxb2(rB=wK) 9.Bxd7(rR=bK) Kxa1 $(\mathrm{wK}=\mathrm{rR})$ 10.Bxc8(bK=rB) rBh8 11.Bxb7(rB=bK) $\mathrm{Qxd} 3(\mathrm{rR}=\mathrm{wK})$ and again wK circuit.

The problem D adds a Phoenix-Pronkin Q to an uncompleted wK circuit:
1.Sf3 e5 2.Sh4 Qxh4 (wK=rS) 3.rSf3 e4+ 4.rSxh4 (bK=rQ) rQe5 5.Rg1 rQxh2+ (rS=wK, wPc7, bPg2, $\mathrm{bRg} 1)$ 6.Kg5 gxf1=Q+ (wK=rB) 7.rBd8 $\mathrm{Qxd1}(\mathrm{rB}=\mathrm{rQ}$ ) 8.rQxf8 (bK=rB) Qxc1 (rQ=rB) 9.rBc5 Qxb1 (rB=rS) 10.rSxe4(rQ=bK) Rg4+ (wPg7) 11.rSc3 Qg1 12.Re1 Qg3+ (bPc7, bPf2, wRg4) 13.rSd1 f1=S 14.Rh4+ (wPh7) Qxh4 (rS=rR) 15.hxg8=R (bK=rS) Sxd2 (rR=wK) 16.Rxc8 (rS=rB) Qd8! 17.Rxc7 (rB=bK).

Two conditions are not enough justified here. Something different is E .
E) P. Rãican - original

(7+16)
PG 14.5
Kobul Kings Masand
E) 1.Sf3 e5 2.Sh4 Qxh4 (wK=rS) 3.rSf3 e4+ 4.rSxh4 (bK=rQ) rQe5 5.Rg1 rQxh2+ (rS=wK, wPc7, bPg2, bRg1) 6.Kg4 gxf1=Q+ $(\mathbf{w K}=\mathbf{r B}) 7 \cdot \mathrm{rBf} 5 \mathrm{Qxd} 1$ (rB=rQ) 8.rQa5 Qxd2+(rQa5$=\mathrm{wK}, \mathrm{wPd} 7$, bPc2, bPe2, bBc1) 9.Sc3 rQd6 10.d8=Q+(wBc8, wBf8, bPc7) rQxd8(wK=rQ) 11.Bh3 rQe8 12.Bf1 Qd8 13.Sd5 c5+ 14.rQe1 Bxb2(rQe1=wK) 15.Bxg7(rQe8=bK).

Notes: 1) The check 6...gxf1=Q+ has not a Masand effect, because it isn't directe.
2) Themes: wK and bK circuits, Q Phoenix-Pronkin, Q Schnoebelen, impostor Bf1.

I guess that many other ideas will happen with Kobul Kings in retro domain.

## Series help-self with Circe rules

by P.Rãican

In Quartz 38 and Quartz 39 respectively have been edited length records for series help-self targetX (*) and series help-self check. Then, feenschach lounched a strong tournement for length records in series help-self checkmate.
We propose now to pull together all lenght records in series helpself with Circe rules. As always, the laboratory used for this research was again the excellent website ChessProblems.ca.

## Part 1: ser-hs+ Circe

The problems with this stipulation were produced in the first few months of this year.
1.1) 1.Kh5-g6 2.Kg6-f7 3.Kf7-e8 4.Ke8-d7 5.Kd7*c6[+wSb1] 6.Kc6b6 7.c7-c5 8.c5-c4 9.c4-c3 10.c3-c2 11.c2*b1=B 12.Bb1-e4 13.Be4-a8 $14 . \mathrm{Kb} 6-\mathrm{b} 7$ \& 1.Ke5-d5 K~+. The first problem with this condition.
1.1) P. Rãican

1.2) P. Rãican

$(3+2) \underset{ }{\text { ser-hs }+24} \quad \mathrm{C}+$
1.3) 1.Ka8-b8 2.Kb8-c8 3.Kc8-d8 4.Kd8-e7 5.Ke7-f8 6.Kf8g7 7.Kg7-h6 8.Kh6-g5 9.Kg5-h4 10.Kh4-g3 11.Kg3-f2 12.Kf2-e3 13.Ke3-d3 14.Kd3-c4 15.Kc4-c5 16.Kc5*c6[+wPc2] 17.Kc6-b6 18.c7-c6 19.c6*b5[+wSb1] 20.b5-b4 21.b4-b3 22.b3*c2 23.c2*b1=B 24.Bb1-e4 25.Be4a8 26.Kb6-b7 \& 1.Ke5-d5 K~ +
${ }^{(*)}$ Black makes first a series of N moves, then White makes a move which force the Black to reach X .
1.2) 1.Kh1-h2 2.Kh2-h3 3.Kh3-h4 4.Kh4-h5 5.Kh5h6 6.Kh6*h7[+wPh2] 7.Kh7-h6 8.Kh6-h5 9.Kh5-h4 10.Kh4-h3 11.Kh3*h2 12.Kh2-h3 13.Kh3-h4 14.Kh4h5 15.Kh5-h6 16.Kh6-h7 17.Kh7*g8[+wRh1] 18.Kg8-f7 19.Kf7-e6 20.Ke6-d5 21.Kd5-c4 22.Kc4-b3 23.Kb3-a2 24.Ka2-a1 \& 1.Rh1-h2 d3-d2 + This position is inspired by H. Moser \& H. Schiegl, serh=24 Circe, feenschach 1975.
1.3) P. Rãican

$(4+2)$
ser-hs +26
C+ Circe
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1.4) P. Rãican

1.7) P. Rãican

(10+2)
1.5) P. Rãican

1.6) P. Rãican

1.8) P. Rãican

1.4) 1.Kc1-b1 .. 17.Kg2*f1[+wSb1] 18.Kf1-g2 ... 33.Ka2*b1 34.Kb1-a2 ... 51.Ke1*d2[+wRa1] 52.Kd2-e2 53.Ke2*f2 54.Kf2-g3 55.f3-f2 56.f2$\mathrm{f} 1=\mathrm{B} 57 . \mathrm{Bf1} 1 \mathrm{~g} 258 . \mathrm{Bg} 2-\mathrm{h} 159 . \mathrm{Kg} 3-\mathrm{g} 2$ \& 1.Kf5-e4 K~ +
1.5) 1.Kg7-f8 .... 7.Kb4*b3 8.Kb3-b4 ... 21.Kg2*f1[+wSb1] ... 37.Ka2*b1 38.Kb1-a2 ... 55.Ke1*d2[+wRa1] 56.Kd2-e2 57.Ke2*f2 58.Kf2-g3 59.f3-f2 60.f2-f1=B 61.Bf1-g2 62.Bg2-h1 63.Kg3-g2 \& 1.Kf5-e4 K~+
1.6) 1.Kg2 .... 14.Kb4*b3 15.Kb3-b4 ... 28.Kg2*f1[+wSb1] ... 44.Ka2*b1 45.Kb1-a2 ... 62.Ke1*d2[+wRa1] 63.Kd2-e2 64.Ke2*f2 65.Kf2-g3 66.f3-f2 67.f2-f1=B 68.Bf1-g2 69.Bg2-h1 70.Kg3-g2 7 1.Kf5-e4 K~ +
1.7) 1.Kg5-h6 ... 18.Kf2*g3[+wSg1] 19.Kg3-f2 ... 37.Kg5*f5[+wPf2] 38.Kf5-g6 ... 54.Ke1*f2 55.Kf2-e1 ... 72.Kf5*e4[+wRh1] 73.Ke4-e3 74.Ke3-f2 75.Kf2-e1 76.Ke1-d1 77.Kd1-c1 78.Kc1-b1 79.Kb1-a2 80.Ka2-a3 81.Ka3a4 82.Ka4-a5 83.Ka5-b6 84.Kb6-c7 85.Kc7-d8 86.Kd8-e8 87.Ke8-f8 88.Kf8-g8 \& 1.Sb8-d7 c5*d4[+wPd2] +
1.8) $1 . \mathrm{Kf4-g5}$... 20.Kg2*h3[+wPh2] 21.Kh3*h2 22.Kh2*g3[+wSg1] 23.Kg3-f2 ... 41.Kg5*f5[+wPf2] 42.Kf5-g6 ... 58.Ke1*f2 59.Kf2-e1 ... 76.Kf5*e4[+wRh1] 77.Ke4-e3 78.Ke3-f2 79.Kf2-e1 80.Ke1-d1 81.Kd1-c1 82.Kc1-b1 83.Kb1-a2 84.Ka2-a3 85.Ka3-a4 86.Ka4-a5 87.Ka5-b6 88.Kb6-c7 89.Kc7-d8 90.Kd8-e8 91.Ke8-f8 92.Kf8-g8 \& 1.Sb8-d7 c5*d4[+wPd2] +

Will be interesting to compare these results with the ser-hs+ without Circe, see Quartz 39/2014:

| Number of units: | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of moves with Circe | - | 14 | 24 | 26 | - | - | 59 | 63 | 70 | 88 | 92 |
| $* * *$ | without Circe | 9 | 16 | 23 | 28 | 34 | 45 | 59 | 71 | 76 | 82 |
| 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Part 2: ser-hs\% Circe
$\%=$ win a piece.
2.1) 1.Kd1-c1 ... 9.Kd7*e8[+wRh1] 10.Ke8-d7 ... 15.Ka3-a2 \& 1.Rh1-a1 + $K a 2 * a 1 \%$ Here is no difference between ser-hsx and ser-hs $\%$ [author]
2.2) 1.Ka3-a2 2.Ka2-b1 3.Kb1-c1
4.Kc1-d1 5.Kd1-e2 6.Ke2-e3 7.Ke3-f4 8.Kf4-e5 9.Ke5-d6 10.Kd6-c7 11.Kc7b8 12.Kb8-a7 13.Ka7-a6
14.Ka6*a5[+wPa2] 15.Ka5-b6
16.Kb6*c6[+wBf1] 17.Kc6-b6 18.Kb6a5 19.Ka5-a4 20.Ka4-a3 \& 1.Bf1-b5 $\mathrm{Ka} 3^{*}$ 2 $\%$
2.3) A. Tüngler

2.6) P. Rãican \& B. Koludrović

(7+1)
2.1) A. Tüngler

2.2) P. Rãican
2.5) P. Rãican \& B. Koludrović dedicated to C. Pãcurar at 50 years

$(5+1) \quad$ ser-hs $\% 37 \quad$ C $+(6+1) \quad$ ser-hs $\% 49 \quad$ C+
2.7) P. Rãican \& B. Koludrović

$(8+1)$

Circe
2.4) P. Rãican

2.8) P. Rãican

2.3) 1.Ka1-b1 2.Kb1-c1 3.Kc1-d1 4.Kd1-e2 5.Ke2-e3 6.Ke3-e4 7.Ke4-d5 8.Kd5-c5 9.Kc5*b5[+wSb1] 10.Kb5-c5 11.Kc5-d5 12.Kd5-e4 13.Ke4-e3 14.Ke3-e2 15.Ke2-d1 16.Kd1-c1 17.Kc1*b1 18.Kb1-a2 19.Ka2*a3[+wPa2] 20.Ka3-a4 21.Ka4-b5 22.Kb5-c5 23.Kc5-d5 24.Kd5-e4 25.Ke4-e3 26.Ke3-e2 27.Ke2-d1 28.Kd1-c1 29.Kc1-b1 30.Kb1-a1 \& 1.Kc3-c2 Ka1*a2 \% This problem could not be converted to an ser-hsx.
2.4) 1.Kd7-e8 2.Ke8-f7 3.Kf7-f6 4.Kf6-g5 5.Kg5-g4 6.Kg4-f3 7.Kf3-f2 8.Kf2-e1 9.Ke1-d1 10.Kd1-c1 11.Kc1-b2 12.Kb2-b3 13.Kb3-a4 14.Ka4*b5[+wSb1] 15.Kb5-a4 16.Ka4-b3 17.Kb3-b2 18.Kb2-c1 19.Kc1-d1 20.Kd1-e1 21.Ke1-f2 22.Kf2-f3 23.Kf3-g4 24.Kg4-g5 25.Kg5-f6 26.Kf6-f7 27.Kf7-e8 28.Ke8-d7 29.Kd7*d6[+wBc1] 30.Kd6*e7[+wSg1] 31.Ke7-f6 32.Kf6-f5 33.Kf5-g4 34.Kg4-g3 35.Kg3-f2 36.Kf2-e1 37.Ke1-d1 \& 1.Sg1-f3 Kd1*c1 \%
2.5) 1.Kf1-e1 2.Ke1-d1 3.Kd1-c1 4.Kc1-b2 5.Kb2-a3 6.Ka3-a4 7.Ka4-a5 8.Ka5-a6 9.Ka6-a7 10.Ka7-b8 11.Kb8-c8 12.Kc8-d8 13.Kd8-e7 14.Ke7-f6 15.Kf6-e5 16.Ke5*e4[+wBf1] 17.Ke4-e5 18.Ke5-f6 19.Kf6-e7 20.Ke7d8 21.Kd8-c8 22.Kc8-b8 23.Kb8-a7 24.Ka7-a6 25.Ka6-a5 26.Ka5-a4 27.Ka4-a3 28.Ka3-b2 29.Kb2-c1 30.Kc1-d1 31.Kd1-e1 32.Ke1*f1 33.Kf1-e1 34.Ke1-d1 35.Kd1-c1 36.Kc1-b2 37.Kb2-a3 38.Ka3-a4 39.Ka4-a5 40.Ka5-a6 41.Ka6-a7 42.Ka7-b8 43.Kb8-c8 44.Kc8-d8 45.Kd8-e7 46.Ke7-f6 47.Kf6-e5 48.Ke5-e4 49.Ke4*d3[+wRh1] \& 1.Kc6-d5 Kd3*d2 \% This position was found by Branko in .... 1987 as a ser-h\#54. I changed the stipulation.
2.6) 1.Kf1-e1 ... 16.Ke5*e4[+wBf1] 17.Ke4-e5 ...32.Ke1*f1 33.Kf1-e1 ... 49.Ke4*d3[+wRh1] 50.Kd3-e4 51.Ke4-e5 52.Ke5-f6 53.Kf6-e7 54.Ke7-d8 55.Kd8-c8 56.Kc8-b8 57.Kb8-a7 58.Ka7-a6 59.Ka6-a5 60.Ka5-a4 61.Ka4-a3 62.Ka3-b2 and 1.Rh1-a1 Kb2*a1 \%
2.7) 1.Kf1-e1 ... 17.Ke5*e4[+wBf1] 18.Ke4-e5 ... 34.Ke1*f1 35.Kf1-e1 ... 52.Ke4*d3[+wRh1] 53.Kd3-e4 54.Ke4-e5 55.Ke5-f6 56.Kf6-f7 57.Kf7-e8 58.Ke8-d8 59.Kd8-c8 60.Kc8-b8 61.Kb8-a7 62.Ka7-a6 63.Ka6-a5 64.Ka5-a4 65.Ka4-a3 66.Ka3-b2 and 1.Rh1-a1 Kb2*a1 \%
2.8) 1.Kf1-e1 2.Ke1-d1 3.Kd1-c1 4.Kc1-b2 5.Kb2-a3 6.Ka3-a4 7.Ka4-a5 8.Ka5-b6 9.Kb6-a7 10.Ka7-b8 11.Kb8-c8 12.Kc8-d8 13.Kd8-e8 14.Ke8-f7 15.Kf7-g7 16.Kg7-h6 17.Kh6-h5 18.Kh5-g4 19.Kg4-f4 20.Kf4*e4[+wBf1] 21.Ke4-f4 22.Kf4-g4 23.Kg4-h5 24.Kh5-g6 25.Kg6-f7 26.Kf7-e8 27.Ke8-d8 28.Kd8-c8 29.Kc8b7 30.Kb7-b6 31.Kb6-a5 32.Ka5-a4 33.Ka4-a3 34.Ka3-b2 35.Kb2-c1 36.Kc1-d1 37.Kd1-e1 38.Ke1*f1 39.Kf1-e1 40.Ke1-d1 41.Kd1-c1 42.Kc1-b2 43.Kb2-a3 44.Ka3-a4 45.Ka4-a5 46.Ka5-b6 47.Kb6-b7 48.Kb7-c8 49.Kc8-d8 50.Kd8-e8 51.Ke8-f7 52.Kf7-g6 53.Kg6-h5 54.Kh5-g4 55.Kg4-f4 56.Kf4-e4 57.Ke4*d3[+wRh1] 58.Kd3*d2 $59 . \mathrm{Kd} 2 * \mathrm{c} 3[+\mathrm{wPc} 2]$ 60.Kc3*d4[+wSg1] 61.Kd4-c4 62.Kc4*b5[+wPb2] 63.Kb5-c4 64.Kc4-d4 65.Kd4-e3 66.Ke3-d2 67.Kd2-c1 and 1.Sg1-f3 + Kxb2(Kxc2) \%
2.9) 1.Ke8-d8 ... 7.Ka5*a4[+wBf1] 8.Ka4-a5 ... 20.Kh5*h4[+wBc1] 21.Kh4-h5 ...37.Kb1*c1 38.Kc1-b1 ... 57.Kf4*e3[+wSg1] 58.Ke3-f4 ... 77.Kb1-c1 78.Kc1-d1 79.Kd1-e1 \& 1.Qh1-d5 Ke1*f1 \%
2.9) P. Rãican

2.10) P. Rãican
2.10) 1.Ke8-d8 ... 7.Ka5*a4[+wBf1] 8.Ka4-a5 ... 20.Kh5*h4[+wBc1] 21.Kh4-h5 ...37.Kb1*c1 38.Kc1-b1 ... 57.Kf4*e3[+wSg1] 58.Ke3-f4 59.Kf4g3 60.Kg3-h4 61.Kh4-h5 62.Kh5-h6 63.Kh6-h7 64.Kh7-g8 65.Kg8-f8 66.Kf8-e8 67.Ke8-d8 68.Kd8-c8 69.Kc8-b8 70.Kb8-a7 71.Ka7-a6 72.Ka6-a5 73.Ka5-a4 74.Ka4-b3 75.Kb3-a2 76.Ka2-b1 77.Kb1-c1 78.Kc1-d1 79.Kd1-e1 80.Ke1*f1 \& 1.Qh1-e4 Kf1*g1 \%



## 840 (Armeni \& Rallo):

a) $1 . \mathrm{Bh} 7-\mathrm{e} 4+\mathrm{Kf} 3{ }^{*} \mathrm{e} 4-\mathrm{c} 2$ 2.Ra8-a3 Rg8*h8-h1 \# b) $1 . \mathrm{Rh} 8 * \mathrm{~g} 8-\mathrm{g} 4 \mathrm{Kf}_{3}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~g} 4-\mathrm{b} 4$ 2.Bh7-b1 Bh1*a8-h8 \# In a), wBb1 is superfluous.

## 841 (Rotenberg):

1...Rb1-b4 2.Ra7-a8 Rb4-c4 3.Bc2-d3 Rc4-c8 \# 1...Rb1-b3 2.Ra7-a2 Bb5-a4 + 3.Ra2-b2 Rb3-b8 \# 1...Rb1-c1 2.Bc2-f5 Rc1-c6 3.Ra7-a5 Rc6-c8 \# 1...Bb5-c6 2.Ra7-a8 Rb1-b5 3.Bc2-a4 Rb5-b8 \#

## 842 (Rotenberg):

1...Ra6-a8 2.Rg7-e7 Ra8-h8 3.Bb6-d8 Rh8-h7 \# 1...Bf6-d8 + 2.Bb6-e3 Ra6-f6 3.Rg7-d7 Rf6-h6 \#

843 (de Heer): 1. $\mathrm{d} 8=\mathrm{S}=$

1. Kxf7 16. Kxa8 28. Kxe4 29. $\mathrm{Kxd} 543 . \mathrm{Kc} 7 \mathrm{~d} 8=\mathrm{R}=$

844 (Sobrecases \& Rãican): 1.Sf7-g5 + Ke6-d5 2.Sg5-h3 Re1-a1 3.Sh3-g1 Ra1*g1 4.g7-g5 Rh1*h7 5.a5-a4 Rh7-h1 6.a4*b3 Rh1-h8 7.b3-b2 Rh8-a8 8.b2-b1=S Ra8-a1 9.Sb1-a3 Ra1-f1 \# 1.Sf7-d8 + e7*d8=B 2.h7-h5 Bd8-h4 3.g7-g5 Re1-a1 4.95*h4 Rh1-b1 5.Kf4-g5 Rb1-h1 6.Kg5-h6 Rh1-b1 7.Kh6-g7 Rb1-h1 8.Kg7-f8 Ra1-g1 9.Kf8-e8 Rg1-g8 \#

845 (Thoma): See the first article in this issue.
846 (Wenda): 1.Ke2xRd1(Ke1)! f4-f3+ 2.Bc3xQe1(Bc1) Rd8-d1+ 3.Kd3-e2 Rg8-d8+ 4.Kc4-d3 Ka3-b3+/Ka4-b3+ 5.Kb4-c4 Re8-g8+ 6.Bd2-c3 (Tempo) \& 1.Bxe1(Bc1)\#

KK-mate, uncapture of both units occupying e1/e8, decoy of the uncaptured bR to e8 in several steps. The FD ....5.Kb4-c4 Re8-g8+ \& 1.Re5\# had to be excluded.

847 (Rãican): See the second article in this issue.
848 (Grudziński): 1.h4 g5 2.hxg5 Sf6(pf3) 3.gxf6 a5(Sf4) 4.b4 axb4 5.Rh3(pb6) fxg2 6.Rc3(pb2) Rxa2 7.bxc7(pb3) gxf1=S(pb6) 8.cxd8=S(Bg2) Sa6(Qc6) 9.Sxf7 Qa4(pd5) 10.fxe7 Kxf7(pf6) 11.e8=B+ Ke6 12.Bxd5+ Kxd5(pc4) 13.Bxd7 Bd6(pb5) 14.Bh3 Se3 15.fxe3 Rd8(Sa3) 16.bxa3 Rg8(Sd3)+ 17.exd3 Rg4 18.Bf1.

B Phoenix-Pronkin, S\&s Ceriani-Frolkin.
$* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ~$
The themes for the 10th World Chess Composition Tournament have been announced:
\#2: Anticipatory unpin of a white piece.
\#3: In variations, black defensive motif and the white response are of the same tactical nature.
\#n: Sequential play of at least two different direct white batteries, with different rear pieces.
eg: Logical studies with the foresight theme.
h\#: Black gives a battery check to the white King.
$\mathbf{s \#}$ : At least two variations end with black mating move made to the same square, played by different black pieces.
fairies: In a solution of a helpmate with Take\&Make fairy condition an "invisible capture" takes place. retros: In an orthodox proof game several pieces exchange their places.

More clarifications and thematic examples can be found on WFCC website. Romanian composers are invited to submit their entries until 15th April 2016. Cornel Pãcurar kindly created an workshop in this matter.

Just received from our friend Dinu-Ioan Nicula - the last Editor of Buletin Problemistic - the belated ranking of fairies published in 2004-2006 period. The judge was Bjørn Enemark and the notation is in German. This report could be read at www.frsah.ro/2015/compozitie-sahista.

## Award Buletin Problemistic - fairies 2004-06

by Bjørn Enemark, Denmark
First, a thank you to Dinu-Ioan Nicula for asking me to judge this fairy section, it has been a pleasure. The overall standard was very high. There have been many candidates for the award and it has not been so easy to select. An important criterion has been interesting play. This has eliminated some of the long series movers where the king walks down through a long and winding, but predefined route to capture a blocking piece. I have given 5 prizes, 6 honorary mentions and 9 commendations. 8 out of these 20 awards went to Romanians. I have not wanted to be influenced by the composers' names so I have initially erased all names on the magazine pages in the jpeg-files that I received from Dinu.
Then a little statistics. Altogether, there were 56 problems by 33 different authors from 13 countries:
*BP81: 4336-4343 (9)
*BP82: 4395-4408, 4338V (15)
*BP83: 4460-4465 (6)
*BP84: 4521-4531(11)
*BP85: 4580-4594 (15)
Of these were 7 direct mates, 17 selfmates, 21 helpmates, 9 helpselfmates and 2 proof games. [...]
I excluded some problems:
$-4343,4404,4465$ and the original version of 4338 for having multiple solutions.
-4396 (ser-s\#16) which has a predecessor in Gennadi L. Kukins ser-s\#13 (PDB P1236035) with same last 5 moves and precisely the same mating position
-4531 and 4591 upon request from Componist, as proof games are now considered a separate category
and 4591 in the meantime was republished as Ro4 in Componist no. 1 (with the author's acceptance)
and for 4464 I had expected more from a Pickaninny with four Excelsiors and grasshopper promotions, like P1177460 by Wenelin Alaikov \& Petko Petkov with four different mates.

And now for the award:
4585. Paul Răican, ROU

1. Pr., Buletin Problemistic fairies 2004-06

2. Vlaicu Crişan, ROU
3. Pr., Buletin Problemistic fairies

2004-06

2.1...

1. Pr., 4585 (Răican):

| 1. Se5+ | Kxe3 (hTa1) | 2. Dxf3+ (sSg8) | Kd 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. T4a2+ | Ke1 | 4. Te2+ | Kd1 |
| 5. Tb2+ | Ke1 | 6. Dh1+ | Sxh6 (hSg1) |
| 7. Sh3+ | Sxf7 (hLf1) | 8. Lc4+ | Sxe5 (hSg1) |
| 9. Se2+ | Sxc4 (hLf1) | 10. Lg3+ | Kd1 |
| 11. Sf2+ | Ke1 | 12. Sg4+ | Kd1 |
| 13. Se3+ | Sxe3 (hSg1) | 14. Dd5+ | Sxd5 |
| 15. Sc3+ | Sxc3\# |  |  |

This aristocratic self mate in 15 moves has a long forced play, quite entertaining, where the lone defender, the black knight, again and again must save the ball at the goal line, using Circe to block for a check from the queen at h1. This is done by black's 6th, 7th, 8th and 9th move. It ends with a forced ideal mate.
It displays the Popandopoulo theme: a battery is established (the queen at h1) and fired several times with different front pieces. Here this is done in white's 7th, 8th and 9th move.
White has all his officers in play; this gives about 50 possible moves for white in each move. So even though black usually has only one move, this problem becomes impossible to test! The last 6 moves are tested ok in 18 minutes. The last 7 moves are tested ok in 51 hours. So testing just one more move (the last 8 out of the 15 moves) would probably take 1 year!
2. Pr., 4406 (Crișan)

1. VAxf7 [+hPAc4] 2. VAxc4 [+hPAf8]
2. PAxb5 [+hVAf7] VAxc4 [+sVAg1]\#
3. PAxb5 [+hVAf5]
4. PAxf5 [+hVAa6]
5. VAxf7 [+hPAb5] PAxf5 [+sPAg1] \#

In supercirce captured pieces may be placed anywhere. And in this little beauty with Chinese pieces all moves are captures, making the most out of the condition. Two solutions with perfect analogy, ODC. The rear piece of one battery is captured by the front piece of another. Since it is a series mover there may be no check to the black king so the rear piece is used to block. With a switchback the rear piece is reinstated only one square further away.
Then the front piece of the other battery captures the rear piece of the first one and places this piece as a new front piece. And for the finale the second battery is fired with a double check and a self block. The white king is the crankshaft of it all, acting as hurdle for both Vaos and Paos. Quite simple actually, but very nicely done. I just wonder why there is a knight and not a pawn at g2.

# Ouartz 41 /April 2015 / p.716 

4530. Gaspar J. Perrone, ARG
4531. Pr., Buletin Problemistic fairies

4532. Guy Sobrecases, FRA
4533. Pr., Buletin Problemistic fairies

2004-06


HS\#20.5
Double maximummer
3. Pr., 4530 (Perrone)

| 1. $0-0$ | b2 | 2. Kh2 | b1KA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Rg1 | KAb8 | 4. KAh1+ | Kxh4 |
| 5. g4+ | fxg3 ep.\# |  |  |

A kangaroo moves likes a grasshopper but needs two hurdles. They may be without intervening spaces. In this problem there are four of them and two of them have several functions:

- KAb7 is a hurdle for KAb1 and also a self block on h1
- KAb4 is a hurdle for KAb1 and delivers check on h4 after g4

The theme is Valladao, a problem that contains all of the three special moves of chess: castling, promotion and en passant. All in a helpselfmate in five.
The final move gives check to the bK with an exo-battery with a kangaroo as a rear piece and black's only defence is an en passant move that mates wK because it is a double check, from the pawn and another exo-battery with the newborn black kangaroo as a rear piece.

## 4. Pr., 4590 (Sobrecases)

The fourth prize goes to a helpselfmate in 20.5 moves!

| 1. - | Ld6+ | 2. Sxd6+ | Dxd6+ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 3. Txd6 | Txd1 | 4. Txd1 | cxd1T |
| 5. Ld5 | Txd5 | 6. Le1 | Td1 |
| 7. La5 | Td8+ | 8. Lxd8 | Kxd8 |
| 9. Ka7 | Kc7 | 10. h8L | Kd8 |
| 11. Kb6 | Kc8 | 12. Ka7 | Kc7 |
| 13. g8S | Kd8 | 14. Lf6 | exf6 |
| 15. Se7 | fxe5 | 16. Sd5 | Kc8 |
| 17. Sf4 | exf4 | 18. Kb6 | fxe3 |
| 19. Ka7 | e2 | 20. Kb6 | e1D |
| 21. Ka7 | Da5\# |  |  |

The length is in itself an achievement in a helpselfmate. Here it is supplemented by bicolor AUW and also quadruple Phenix since each of the promotions has been preceded by a capture of a corresponding piece during the first eight moves where all the original officers plus the newborn rook are eliminated.
4592. Eric Huber, ROU
5. Pr., Buletin Problemistic fairies

4526. Dinu-Ioan Nicula
\& Vlaicu Crişan, ROU
dedicated to Lina

1. HM, Buletin Problemistic fairies

2004-06

5. Pr., 4592 (Huber)

| 1. | Gd3 | 2. Ge2 | Gc5 | 3. Gg6 | Gh7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Ge6 | Ge3 | 5. Gg6 | Gf5 | 6. Ge4 | Gd3 |
| 7. Gc4 | Gc5 | 8. Gc2 | Gb1 | 9. Gxc5 | Ke5 |
| 10. Gc6 | Kd6 | 11. Ge7 | Kxe7 | 12. Kf7 | Kf6 |
| 13. Ke6+ | Ke7 | 14. Kd5 | Kd7 | 15. Ge8 | Ke6 |
| 16. Kd6 | Kd5 | 17. Ke5 | Ke6 | 18. Kf6 | Kf5 |
| 19. Kg5 | Kg6 | 20. Kh6 | Kh7 | 21. Kg7 | Kg6 |
| 22. Gh5+ | Kh7 | 23. Kh8 | Kg6 | 24. Gf7 | Gh7 |
| 25. Gh5 | Gh4 | 26. Gf7 | Kh7\# |  |  |
| 1. Gg6 | Gd3 | 2. Ge2 | Gh7 | 3. Ge4 | Gf5 |
| 4. Gc4 | Gh7 | 5. Ge6 | Ge7 | 6. Gf7 | Gg5 |
| 7. Gd5 | Gc5 | 8. Gf7 | Ge7 | 9. Gd5 | Gf8 |
| 10. Gc4 | Gf5 | 11. Gg5 | Gxg5 | 12. Ge4 | Ge7 |
| 13. Ge8 | Gg5 | 14. Kxg5 | Gh5 | 15. Kh4 | Gh3 |
| 16. Kg3 | Gf3 | 17. Kf2 | Gf1 | 18. Ke3 | Ke4 |
| 19. Kf4 | Kf5 | 20. Kg5 | Kg6 | 21. Kh6 | Kh7 |
| 22. Kg7 | Kg8 | 23. Kh8 | Kf7 | 24. Gg6 | Gf8 |
| 25. Ge8 | Gd8 | 26. Gg6 | Kg8\# |  |  |

Another lengthy double maximummer problem goes into the prize list, an aristocratic miniature help mate in 26 with the Koeko condition. Only kings and grasshoppers. In Koeko moves are only legal if the piece ends up being ajacent to another piece on the board. Its qualities is not just the length, it has a set play half a move shorter that ends up with mate in the opposite corner, and a photographic echo too!
This must be a lucky find! The Koeko condition is so contrary to normal chess play that is hard to imagine composing such a long problem without extensive use of the computer. Still, it is the result that counts and a H\#26 with photographic echoes is certainly worth a prize.

1. HM, 4526 (Nicula \& Crişan)

| 1. Sb 4 | Gxb4 | 2. C3 | Gd2\# |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Lg5 | Nxg5 | 2. Tf2 | Nf3 \# |

Change of function between the nightrider and the grasshopper.
Very neat analogy. First the pinned black piece moves away from the king along the pin line (a Pelle move), and then the rear piece of the other battery captures the pinned piece making a battery. To make the battery work black must be prevented from 1) capturing the rear piece and 2) interfering on the battery line.
4403. Michael Grushko, ISR
2. HM, Buletin Problemistic fairies 2004-06

4398. Jean-François Baudoin, FRA 3. HM, Buletin Problemistic fairies 2004-06

4589. Guy Sobrecases, FRA
4. HM, Buletin Problemistic fairies 2004-06

2. HM, 4403 (Grushko)

| 1. Sc6 | Tb1 | 2. Sb8 | Txb8 [Sg1] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Th3 | Tb1 | 4. Tb3 | Ld1 |
| 5. Sf3 | Lxf3 | 6. Kxe7 | La8 |
| 7. Ke8 | Lh1 | 8. Tb7+ | Txb7 |

9. Kf8 La6=

White's knight and rook are cleverly eliminated in spite of the Circe condition. In move 6 the knight goes because of the black rook at b1 and in move 8 the rook is taken because of the black bishop at h1. The move 8. - Lxb7 is longer than 8. - Txb7 but is illegal due to self check.

## 3. HM, 4398. (Baudoin)

1. f5
2. $\operatorname{Tg} 6$
3. Te3
4. Txg3
5. Tf3
6. g3
7. g2
8. g1L
9. Lh2
10. Le5
11. Kf4
12. $\operatorname{Tg} 3$
13. g5 Sd5\#

Black constructs a fortress and bK enters.
The surprising thing here is that wBg3 is captured and that it is done by the rook at e8

## 4. HM, 4589 (Sobrecases)

| 1. Th1 | Lxh1(T) | 2. Ke6 | Th8 | 3. Lf7 | Ta8 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 4. Le8 | Txe8(L) | 5. Kf5 | Lxg6(B)+ | 6. Ke4 | Kb3 |
| 7. Kd3 | Ka2 | 8. Kc4 | Kb1 | 9. Kb3 | g5 |
| 10. Ka4 | Kc2 | 11. d8S | Kd3 | 12. Se6 | Kc4 |
| 13. $\mathrm{Sf4}$ | gxf4(S) | 14. K 8 D | Sg6 | 15. Dh8 | Sxh8(D) |
| 16. Ka3 | Da1\# |  |  |  |  |

Another successful long double maximummer, this time with Frankfurter chess: When a piece makes a capture it changes into that piece, but retains its own color. bLd5 turns into $T, L, B, S, D$, so it is a kind of AUW even though there is not promotion involved for black. Due to the maximummer condition the pawns can only move when the corresponding $K$ is caught at the edge, unable to make a diagonal move.
4580. Venelin Alaikov, BUL
5. HM, Buletin Problemistic fairies 2004-06

4401. Neculai Chivu, ROU 6. HM, Buletin Problemistic fairies

5. HM, 4580. (Alaikov)
A: 1. Ka6
2. gxf6
3. fxe5
4. e4
5. e3
6. e2
7. e1L
8. La5 Ld3\#
B: 1. Ka8
2. g5
3. g4
4. g3
5. g2
6. g1L
7. Lh2
8. Lb8 Le4\#
C: 1. Kc8
2. gxh6
3. h5 4. h4
5. h3
6. h2
7. h1L
8. Lb7 Th8\#
D:
2. g6
3. gxf5
4. f4
5. f3
6. f2
7. f1L
8. Lb5 Tc7\#

This series mover has many qualities:

- K-star in first move (bK must unpin the pawn)
- Pickaninny
- four excelsior runs by pawn g7
- promotion to bishop on e1, f1, g1 and h1

Some would disqualify it completely for having a white officer (S) not participating in the B-mate, but I think its qualities easily outweigh this. However, it would certainly have been ranked higher without this flaw.
6. HM, 4401 (Chivu)

| 1. Le1 | ZZ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. - | fxe1S | 2. Ta3+ <br> 3. Sxc2+ | Kxa3 <br> Sxc2\# |
| 1. - | fxe1L | 2. b5 | Lxa5 <br> 3. Sc3 |
| 1. - |  | fxe3\# |  |
|  |  | 2. Td1 | Th1 |
| 1. - | fxe1D | 3. Txb1+ <br> 2. Txa2 <br> 3. Ta3+ | Txb1\# <br> Dxb4 <br> Dxa3\# |
|  |  |  |  |

Fine S\#3 with AUW. Black must promote the f-pawn with capture.
4339. Michael Grushko, ISR

1. Comm. , Buletin Problemistic fairies 2004-06

2. Igor Vereşciaghin
\& Valerii Gurov, RUS
3. Comm. , Buletin Problemistic
fairies 2004-06

4. Comm. , 4339 (Grushko)
A)

| 1. Sc2 | Gd1 | 2. Sd4 | Gd5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Kg6 | Gc3 | 4. Sf5 | Gg5 |
| 5. Sg 7 | Gh8 | 6. Kh7 | Gg8 |
| 7. Kxh8 [+bGh1] | Gh7\# |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| B) $\mathrm{wSa} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} 8$ |  |  | Gb8 |
| 1. Kg6 | Gf6 | 2. Sc7 | 4. Kxf6 [+bGf1] |
| 3. Se 6 | Gb2 | 6. Kh8 | Gf7 |

7. Sg 7 Gf8\#

Two mates with this weak material, both in the h8-corner. Almost symmetrical around the diagonal. One of them is Circe dependent: in A) the grasshopper at g 8 is self guarded.
2. Comm., 4407 (Vereşciaghin \& Gurov)

| 1. d7 | Kd6 | 2. Lb6 | Ke7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. d8T | Kf6 | 4. Td1 | Kg5 |
| 5. Th1 | Kh4 | 6. Lg1 | g5 |

7. g4
fxg3 ep.\#

Logical HS\#7 ending in ZZ and forced ep-capture.
4400. Venelin Alaikov, BUL
3. Comm., Buletin Problemistic fairies 2004-06

4529. Menachem Witztum, ISR
4. Comm. , Buletin Problemistic

4521. Valer V. Demian
\& Valeriu Petrovici, ROU
5. Comm. , Buletin Problemistic fairies 2004-06

3. Comm., 4400 (Alaikov)

| 1. Te3! | tr. | 2. De5+ | Lxe5 | 3. Kh6 | Lf4\# |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. - | Txd4 | 2. T3e6+ | dxe6 | 3. Kf6 | Txd5 |
| 1. - | Sxd4 | 2. C5+ | Kxd5 | 3. Kf4 | Se6\# |
| 1. - | Lxd4 | 2. Txd7+ | Lxd7 | 3. Kxh4 | Lf6\# |
| 1. - | Sf4 | 2. Dxf4+ | Le5 | 3. Kh6 | Lxf4\# |

After the threat black may defend with four moves all resulting in reflex mate. White K-star in third move. The position is a bit heavy though.

## 4. Comm., 4529 (Witztum)

A:

| 1. Dxg5 | Lxa6 | 2. Dg4+ | Sf5\# |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B: |  |  |  |
| 1. Dxd4 | Thxh6 | 2. De3+ | Se4\# |

White queen captures one of the knights that both are front pieces in batteries. The other knight fires its battery at the end. This is called pseudo-Zilahi.
We also have Pelle moves by the queen and of course ODC.
The position is quite heavy though and the extra rook out of the box is a minus.
5. Comm., 4521 (Demian \& Petrovici)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. } \mathrm{Kc} 4 & \text { 2. } \mathrm{Kb} 5\end{array}$
3. Ka6
4. Ka7
5. Ka8
6. La7 c7\#

1. Kd 2
2. Ke1
3. Kf1
4. Kg 1
5. Kh1
6. Lg1 Kg3\#

With simple means this miniature generate the same mate in opposite corners.
4344. Eric Huber, ROU
6. Comm., Buletin Problemistic


4338V. Neculai Chivu, ROU
7. Comm., Buletin Problemistic fairies 2004-06

4463. Neculai Chivu, ROU
8. Comm. , Buletin Problemistic fairies 2004-06

6. Comm., 4344 (Huber)

1. c8T
e1L
2. Tc2
g1T
3. g8D+
Kh4
4. h8T+
Lc3\#

A fine miniature helpselfmate featuring 5 promotions. In Eiffel a pawn threatening a knight will paralyse the knight. Likewise for knight threatening bishop, bishop threatening rook, rook threatening queen and queen threatening pawn. Here this is used twice, the rook at g1 paralyses the queen at g 8 and the bishop at c3 paralyses the rook at h8. Lc3 is the only way to prevent the mating of black: bK cannot enter the g -line because of self check when the g1-g8 line is broken.

## 7. Comm., 4338V (Chivu)

| 1. $\mathrm{a} 8 \mathrm{D}+$ | Kxb6 | 2. $\mathrm{c} 8 \mathrm{~S}+$ | Kc5 | 3. f8L+ | Kd5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4. $\mathrm{d} 8 \mathrm{~T}+$ | $\mathrm{Ke4}$ | 5. Td1 | Lf1 | 6. gxf3+ | Sxf3\# |

Quite entertaining. By means of four promotions with check (AUW) black is forced onto the e-column, the maximummer condition makes it e4 instead of the safe spot e5. The final pawn check could easily be parried by Ke5/Kf5/Kxf3 but due to the condition it must be Sxf3\#

1. Ka2! ZZ
2.     - a3 2. g8D a4 3. Dc8 Ka5 4. Sxb5 Ka6/Kb4 5. Sd4+ Ka5 6. Tb4 Kxb4 [+hTa1] 7. Sb3 axb3 [+hSb1]\#

Because of zugzwang black is forced to capture white rook and knight, creating two white self blocks.
4397. Jorma Pitkänen, FIN
9. Comm. , Buletin Problemistic
fairies 2004-06

9. Comm., 4397 (Pitkänen)

| 1. Ta6 | 2. Tba2 | 3. T2a5 | 4. Da3 | 5.b3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 6.b2 | 7.b1S | 8. Sc3 | 9.Sa2 | 10. Sb4 |
| 11. Da 4 | c4\# |  |  |  |

A neat puzzle with quadruple black self block and promotion to $S$

Bjørn Enemark
2013-01-06

## Editor's note:

This report had been long awaited, but was a pleasure to read it. It worth to be read by newcomers in chess composition too. Thank you, Bjørn, for the first prize granted. It was mostly a surprise for me, as I earned Commendations only for this kind of problems, till now.

A little word about Guy's $4^{\text {th }}$ Prize \& $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{HM}$ : the condition Double Maximmumer is beloved by the French composer. I found 12 problems with this condition on Anticirce - his webblog. Here is one of them:

## G. Sobrecases

Probleemblad 2007

1.Cc3! Th1 2.Cb1 T×b1 3.Rc3 Th1 4.Rd2 Ta1 5.e $\times$ d6 Fb2 6.a8= $\mathbf{C ~ F g} 7$ 7.Cc7 Fb2 8.Cd5 Fg7 9.Cc3 Th1 10.Ce2 Fa1 11.Cg1+ Tg $\times$ g1 12.f8=C Fg7 13.Cg6 Fa1 14.Ch4+ T×h4 15.e8=C Fg7 16.Cf6 Fb1 17.Cg4 Fa1 18.Ch2+ T×h2\# (C+ Popeye 4.69 )
wS Ceriani-Frolkin x3.

