

## Contents

From Variables to Total Invisibles ..... p. 835
A hybrid between parryh-ser problems and series PGs ..... p. 840
TT3 Murfatlar ..... p. 842
En bref. ..... p. 843
WCCT11 retro section - Examples ..... p. 845

## From Variables to Total Invisibles

Eric Huber and Vlaicu Crisan - two valuable contributors to our magazine - sent this interesting article which sheds light on a very nebulous field for many of us.

Vlaicu (b. 1973) is titled International Master for chess composition from 2016. He is currently the fairy editor of Japanese chess problem magazine Problem Paradise. Eric (b.1971) is FIDE Master for chess composition. Organizes and judges with Vlaicu the yearly Tzuica Tourney, dedicated to helpselfmates (Red.)

In the initial position of a chess composition, the solver usually knows all the elements: what and where are the white pieces, what and where are the black pieces, the aim and the stipulation. However, in 1992 the Japanese composer Tadashi Wakashima suggested the Variable. The Variables are pieces for which we know the color (either white or black) and the position, but we don't know the type. During the solution, based on several deductions, the solver must infer the Variable's type. We shall start with three compositions by the inventor. In v1, the black Variable executes a switchback in order to demonstrate it is a Rook or a Bishop, while the white Variable is a pawn promoting to Knight, respectively a Queen. The Variables are particularly well suited for serial problems. In v2, after the first two moves, we discover the real type of the white Variables, but revealing the type of black Variables requires some particular moves. Note how the presence of white King on c1 is decisive. I still remember solving v3 - it was a fascinating experience to discover the two echo stalemates [it is about Vlaicu in this last sentence, Red.]
v1) Tadashi Wakashima
Comm, The Problemist 1992

b) $\mathrm{Bg} 7 \rightarrow$ a1, $1+1$ Variables
v2) Tadashi Wakashima
$1{ }^{\text {st }}$ Prize, The Problemist 1995


2+4 Variables
v3) Tadashi Wakashima
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Prize , Phenix 1993


Sol v1: a) 1.Vh5 (bV=QRP) Vf8 (wV=QRP, bV $\neq \mathrm{Q}$ ) 2.Vh7(bV=R) Vg6(wV=S) \#
b) $1 . \mathrm{Ve} 4(\mathrm{bV}=\mathrm{QB}) \mathrm{Va} 2(\mathrm{wV}=\mathrm{QB}, \mathrm{bV} \neq \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{bV}=\mathrm{B}) 2 . \mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{~h} 7 \mathrm{Vxa} 1(\mathrm{wV}=\mathrm{Q}) \#$
v2: 1.Vgg3 (wVg3=KQRP) 2.Vgb3 (wVa4=K, wVb3=QR, bVb2 $\neq \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{bVd} 2 \neq \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{bVg} 1 \neq \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{bVb} 2 \neq \mathrm{KS}$, $\mathrm{bVh} 1=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{wVb} 3=\mathrm{R}) 3 . \mathrm{Kb} 4(\mathrm{bVd} 2 \neq \mathrm{QB}) 4 . \mathrm{Kc} 3(\mathrm{bVb} 2 \neq \mathrm{QB}) 5 . \mathrm{Kd} 3(\mathrm{bVd} \neq \mathrm{R}) 6 . \mathrm{Ke} 2(\mathrm{bVg} 1 \neq \mathrm{S}) 7 . \mathrm{Rf} 3$ 8.Rf1 9.Kd1 10.Kc1(bVb2 $\neq \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{bVb} 2=\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{bVd} 2 \neq \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{bVd} 2=\mathrm{S})$ 11.Kd1 12.Ke2 13.Rf2 14.Rg2
15.Kf2(bVg1FQB, bVg1=R) 16.Kg3 17.Kh3 18.Rxd2 19.Rh2+ Rxh2\#

Sol v3: 1.Kf2(bVf5 $\neq \mathrm{QR})$ 2.Ke3(bVe5 $\neq \mathrm{QR}$, $\mathrm{bVf} 5 \neq \mathrm{S}) 3 . \mathrm{Kd} 4(\mathrm{bVe} \neq \mathrm{KQBP}, \mathrm{bVe} 5=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{bVe} \neq \mathrm{S})$ 4.Kd5(bVe6 $=\mathrm{KQBP}, \mathrm{bVe}=\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{bVf5}=\mathrm{K}) ~ 5 . \mathrm{Kd} 4$ 6.Ke3 7.Kf2 8.Kg3 9.Kh4 10.Kh5 11.Qf3 Sxf3=
 5.Kd4(bVe5 $\neq \mathrm{BP}, \mathrm{bVe} 5=\mathrm{S}) 6 . \mathrm{Kc} 5$ 7.Kb6 8.Kc7 9.Kd8 10.Ke8 11.Qc6 Sxc6=

Surprisingly or not, this invention didn't catch the fancy of too many composers, despite the interesting effects displayed. It wasn't until 2000 that some Australian and British composers tried their hand at Variables. A possible reason is that the chess compositions with Variables can't be checked by computer programs. We conclude the first part of the article with two problems with only four pieces on the board (so-called Wenigsteiner). In v4, each white Variable plays alternatively the role of a King and a Queen. It's fascinating to see how the play is double aimed: to reveal the type of the Variables and build the final position. Variables can be combined with other fairy conditions as well - in v5, with the help of Transmuted Kings we can discover all the possible piece types in the twins in the most economic possible presentation.
v4) Geoff Foster
The Problemist 2010

v5) Yoshikazu Ueda
Problem Paradise 1997

i1) Atsuo Hara
$3^{\text {rd }}$ HM , Sake 2009


Sol v4: $b V b 2 \neq K, b V d 5=K, b V b 2 \neq Q$
$1 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 4(\mathrm{wVa} \neq \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{wVc} 2 \neq \mathrm{QR}) 2 . \operatorname{Vaa} 5(\mathrm{wVa} 5=\mathrm{QR}, \mathrm{wVc} 2=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{bVb} 2 \neq \mathrm{R}) \mathrm{Va} 4(\mathrm{bVa} 4=\mathrm{S}) 3 . \mathrm{Kb} 1 \mathrm{~Kb} 3$
4.Ka1 Ka3 5.Vac3(wVc3=Q) Sxc3=
1...Vbb5(bVb5=R, wVc2 $\neq \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{wVa3}=\mathrm{K})$ 2.Vcd3(wVd3=QB) Kc5 4.Ka2 Kb4 4.Ka1 Ka4
5.Vda3(wVa3=Q) Kxa3=
v5: wVc4 $\neq \mathrm{Q}$ (because otherwise wK will be self checked)
a) $1 . \mathrm{Vg} 5(\mathrm{bVg} 5=\mathrm{S}$, $\mathrm{wVc} 4 \neq \mathrm{R})+\mathrm{Kd} 42 . \mathrm{Kd} 6(\mathrm{wVc} 4 \neq \mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Vc} 5(\mathrm{wVc} 5=\mathrm{P}) \#$
b) $1 . \mathrm{Kh} 6(\mathrm{wVc} 4=\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{Kg} 3(\mathrm{bVg} 7 \neq \mathrm{QR}) 2 . \mathrm{Vg} 6(\mathrm{bVg} 6=\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{Rh} 4 \#$
c) $1 . \mathrm{Kd} 7 \mathrm{Kf} 7(\mathrm{bVb} 7=\mathrm{Q}) 2 . \mathrm{Qc} 6 \mathrm{Ve} 6(\mathrm{wVe}=\mathrm{B}) \#$

Sol i1: black Invisible=bK
1.Rb5 Rb6 2.Ixb6(bIb6=K) Sd5\#
1.Rc2 Sd3 2.Ixd3(bId3=K) Qd5\#
1.Rh2 Qh1 2.Ixh1(bIh1=K) Re1\#

In 2009, the Japanese composers raised the bar: what if we don't know the initial position of the Variable? They called this new invention Invisible and launched a thematic tournament featuring this piece during the $52^{\text {nd }}$ WCCC Rio de Janeiro 2009. The Sake tournament from 2009 was undoubtedly a great success.
Definition (Invisibles(I)): Beside ordinary units, a given number of Invisible pieces are on the board, their identity and whereabouts being not known. Moves are played so as to determine partially or totally the identity and location of the Is, in order to finally achieve with certainty the stipulated aim. An I may play a quiet move denoted 1.I~~ (a priori we don't know which I moved to what square), or may capture a visible unit (1.Ixd5) to show its arrival square. An I remains I until both its identity and whereabouts are determined, in this case it is revealed and becomes an ordinary piece. The notation expresses what we know, and only what we know, all must be deduced, not simply asserted through the notation.

The easiest way to use an Invisible is to hide the black King from the board. By using this trick, a cyclic Zilahi can be economically shown without any twinning, as in i1. More difficult is the theme of $\mathbf{i 2}$, where an Invisible is revealed as all four types of pieces. The authors coined this theme Alluminvisiblung, in tongue-in-cheek analogy with Allumwandlung. Please note that in the first solution, the white Invisible cannot be a Bishop or Knight, because it would mean that before the black King was already in check.


Sol i2: 1.Qa1 Ixa1 (wIa1ㅋBSP) 2.c3+ Ixc3(wIc3=Q)\#
1.Qe4 Ke2(wIe3 $=\mathrm{QBP}$ ) 2.c3 Ixe4(wIe4=R) \#
$1 . \mathrm{Kc} 5(\mathrm{wId} 5 \neq \mathrm{QR}) \operatorname{Ixh} 1(\mathrm{wIh} 1=\mathrm{B}) 2 . \mathrm{Kd} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 5 \#$
1.Qd5 Rf5 2.Ke4 Rf4(wId3*QRBP, wId3=S)\#
i3: a) 1.Be1 Kg5 2.Bd2 Kh6 (Ie3) 3.Kg8(bIf8=R) I~~ (bIe3, wI left h7=R) 4.Kh8 Ixf8(If8=R)\# The white move 3 was $\mathbf{3}$. ...Rh7-f7, revealed in the end of solution.
b) $1 . \operatorname{Bg} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 5(\mathrm{If} 4) 2 . \mathrm{Kc} 8(\mathrm{bId} 8=\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{Kd} 53 . \mathrm{I} \sim \sim(w I$ on d6 or d7, wI $\neq \mathrm{QR}$, bIf4 $\neq \mathrm{SBRQ}$, bIf4=P) Kc6 4.Bb8(wI on d7=S) Sb6\#

Castling is a very convenient move to reveal the identity of one Invisible. One of the most prolific masters of Invisibles is the French composer René Millour. He won the Wenigsteinerjahrespreis three consecutive times with very complex Invisibles problems (2015-2016-2017) which we cannot include here, but we recommend their analysis - see the awards on http://www.wenigsteiner.de/ In i3, René Millour was able to extend Margus Sööt's work from 2009 with a twin featuring the long castling and adding a third [black] Invisible.
Moreover, the Invisibles can be used also in strategic compositions - see i4. René also had a significant theoretical contribution in clarifying the rules of Invisibles. After his discussion with Tadashi Wakashima, they eventually agreed that the identity of the Invisible can be solely ascertained by the moves and not the stipulation of the problem. The last composition from the second part features the combination of Invisibles with a Pao.
i4) René J. Millour
1Prize bernd ellinghoven 64 JT feenschach 2017/2018

i5) Tadashi Wakashima \& René J. Millour
Julia's Fairies 2015

til) Ofer Comay
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{HM}$, Sake 2018


Sol i4: 1...Bb8(I on g1, I on g2) 2.Ixb8(wIb8=K) Ra1 3.Ba8 I~~(bI on diagonal b7-f3, bI=QB, wI on g1) 4.Kb7 (bI was captured on b7) Qa3 5.Kb8+ Qxa8\#
1...Ra2(I on g1, I on g2) 2.Ixa2 Bg7(wIa2=K) 3.Ra1 I~~(bI on line b1-f1, bI=QR, wI on g2) 4.Kb1 (bI was captured on b1) Qf6 5.Ka2+ Qxa1\#
i5: 1.PAOh7 Ba7 2.PAOxa7 (one wI was captured on h7, there is another wI between b7-g7) Ixg1\# (Ig1=PA coming from g7), 1.Bxf2+ Rxf2 2.PAOf7 Kg1\# (Kg1\# is actually O-O\#, there is another wI on $f$ line)
Sol ti1: 1.Re6 (TI on e5, TI on f5/g6) Qg1 2.TIxh2 (TIh2=bB) TI~~ (wTI on e5, TIg6=bK, wTIe5=wS coming from g 4 )
1.Bg6 (TI on e5/e6/e7, TI on f5) Bb4 2.TIxa5(TIa5=bR) TI~~ (wTI on f5, TIe7=bK, wTIf5=wS coming from d6)

In 2018, the Japanese composers raised the bar even higher: what if we don't even know the color of the Invisible? They called this new invention Total Invisible and launched a thematic tournament featuring this piece(s) during the WCCC Ohrid 2018. After the 2018 Sake tournament, Thomas Maeder undertook the challenge to program Popeye to be able to cope with the Total Invisibles. As expected, cooks were found for several compositions, but some of them miraculously resisted.

Among them, til is a beautiful orthogonal-diagonal helpmate with three Total Invisibles, one of which is the black King. It features superb cross-checks ending with battery mates, with a Total Invisible as the front piece! Alluminvisiblung is the theme of ti2, with the complete identity of both Total Invisibles being revealed only after the mating move.

Definition (Total Invisible): The piece Total Invisible is a piece which stands somewhere on the board, but whose color, identity and whereabouts are not known. The real identity of Total Invisible is any ordinary piece (including K if there is no K on the board). It is assumed that the initial position and the sequence of moves must be legal after the true identity of every Total Invisible is revealed. After all aspects of a Total Invisible are revealed, it becomes visible and turns into an ordinary piece. In an initial position, only the total number of Total Invisibles is given. (see Sake Tourney, Ohrid 2018)


Sol ti2: a) 1.TIxh5 Ke2 2.TIxg6 (bTI=QB, wTI on f3/g4) TIxd7 (TId7=wB, TIg6=bQ)\#
b) 1.TIxd2 Kf2 2.TIxd4 (bTI=QR, wTI on e2) TIxc3 (TIc3=wS, Tid4=bR)
ti3: 1.TIxf2 Kc1 [=0-0-0; TIf2=bR] 2.Rh2 [TI on f1] Qf1\# [bTIf1 and 2...Qxf1\#]
1.Kh3 [TI on e3-g3] Kg1 [=0-0; TI on h2; TIh2 $\neq \mathrm{bQ} / \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}$, wQ/R; thus TIh2=bR or wB/S/P.]
2.TI~~ Qg3 [bTIg3 and 2.Qxg3\#]

A lovely gem achieving 0-0-0 and 0-0 in a simple setting [judge]
ti4: 1.TI~~ (one black TI) TI~~ (one white TI on g8, bTI on h2-h7) 2.TI~~ (either wSg8 and bQBh7 or wBg8 and bSh6) TI~~\# A paradoxical solution, in which all moves are by TIs.
ti5) Eric Huber
Julia's Fairies 2019

$1+3+2 n+4$
4 Total Invisible, 2 sol

Sol ti5: 1...nQg1 means there is an Invisible on f1, which is not bRf1/bQf1
2.nQc5 0-0-0 TId1 $=\mathrm{wR}$ and there is an Invisible between wKc 1 and nQc5, but it is not bR or bQ , neither bTIc $2, \mathrm{bSc} 3, \mathrm{bBc} 3$.
3.fxg3 the fourth Invisible is captured on g3

Rd4\# none of the remaining Invisibles (TIf1 and TIc3/c4) can prevent the mate by the white Rook
1...TIxh3 the first two Invisibles are partially identified: wTIh3 and one TIf1 or TIg1
2.gxf1=nR+ nRf3 means TIf1 has been captured and there is another Invisible on g 1 , but not bR/bQ
3.fxg3 the fourth Invisible was on g 3 and has just been captured TIxg3=R the only Invisible that can capture on g3 is TIh3 because TIg1 is pinned, hence TIh3=wR!
ti5 combines Total Invisibles with neutral pieces. The rule of thumb here is that the Total Invisibles can be either White or Black, but not neutral.
Thus we have seen what can be done with Variables, Invisibles and Total Invisibles in fairy genre. We hope the readers will be inspired to compose Invisible problems in new directions.

Vlaicu Crişan and Eric Huber - July 2020

## A hybrid between parryh-ser problems and series proof games

The late Dan Meinking (1960-2012) has launched in 2009 the new genre named parryh-ser. It is a series-mover, in which

1) the series-side may give check during the series
2) when checked, the idle-side must immediately parry the threat
3) the parry-move is also helpful
4) after a check-and-parry, the series-side continues the series In this article, parryh-ser is combined with series proof games.

If a parryh-ser problem finished with a idle side move, then the stipulation changed in parrySer-h. The following problem is probably the first parrySer-h PG ever published.
Sol: 1.b4 2.b5 3.b6 4.bxa7 5.axb8=S 6.Sxd7 7.Sxf8 8.Sxh7 9.Sf6+ Kf8 10.Sd5 11.Sxe7 12.Sg6+ fxg6 13.Ba3+ Rxa3 14.Sxa3 15.Sb1 16.a4 17.a5 18.a6 19.a7 20.a8=Q 21.Qa3+ c5 22.Qf3+ Ke8 23.Qc6+ bxc6 24.f4 25.f5 26.f6 27.f7+ Kd7 28.f8=S+ Kc7 29.Ra7+ Kb6 30.Ra6+ Kb5 31.e4+ c4 32.Sc3+ Kc5 33.d4+ cxd3 e.p. 34.Qh5+ Kd4 35.Sge2+ dxe2 36.Qe5+ Ke3 37.Sd1+ exd1=S 38.Be2 39.0-o 40.Kh1 41.Rg1 Sf2\#

Checkmate with a promoted Knight. Valladao theme.

1) P. Rãican

Special Prize, CPB 2010 version

$10+9$
pSer-h-dia 41
2) $\mathbf{P}$. Rãican

Special HM, CPB 2010 version


The problem number 2 has participated in the same tourney, judge: Dan Meinking.
Sol: 1.a4 2.Ra3 3.Rg3 4.Rxg7 5.Rg4 6.Rd4 7.g4 8.g5 9.g6 10.97 11.gxf8 $=$ S 12.Sxd7 $13 . \mathrm{Sc} 5$ 14.Sxb7 $15 . \mathrm{Sd} 6+\mathrm{Kd7}$ 16.Sxc8+ Kxc8 17.Bh3+ Sd7 18.Bg2 19.Bc6 20.e4 21.Ke2 22.Kd3 23.Kc4 24.Kd5 25.c4 e6\#

At the time, this kind of problems was verified by rawbats, a program by Mario Richter.

Naturally, a length record for a parryh-ser PG followed, see 3 .

Sol of 3: 1.f4 2.f5 3.f6 4.fxg7 5.gxh8=R 6.Rxh7 7.Rh4 8.Rb4 9.h4 10.h5 11.h6 12.h7 13.hxg8=B 14.Bh7 15.Be4 16.Bc6 17.e4 18.Ke2 19.Kd3 20.Kc4 21.Kb5 22.Bc4 23.Se2 24.Qg1 25.Qxa7 26.Qxa8 27.Qa3 28.Qc3 29.a4 30.a5 31.a6 32.a7 33.a8=S 34.Sb6 35.Sxd7 36.Sxf8+ Sd7 37.Sh7 38.Sf6+ Kf8 39.Rh8+ Kg7 40.Re8 41.Sg8+ Sf6 42.Qg3+ Bg4 43.d3! 44.Bh6+ Kg6 45.Bf8 46.Sf4+ Kg5 47.Se6+ fxe6 48.Qh4+ Kf4 49.g3+ Kf3 50.e5+ Se4 51.Qf6+ exf6 52.Sd2+ Ke3 53.Bc5+ Qd4 54.Bd5 55.Sc4+ Kf3 56.Rf1+ Qf2 57.Be3 58.Bc1 59.Sd2+ Ke3 60.Sf3+ Qd2 61.Sh2 62.Re1+ Be2 63.Rh1.

This is actually a length record, but with some artistic elements: Bc1 circuit, impostor Rh1.

The problem 3 was partially verified by Jacobi in this manner stip phser-*dia 21 forsyth rsbqkb2/pppppp2/2B5/1K6/1R2P3/8/PPPP2P1/RSBQ1BSR stip phser-*dia 16 forsyth 2bqk3/1ppspp1S/2B5/1K6/1RB1P3/2Q5/1PPPS1P1/RSB4R stip phser-*dia 14 forsyth 3qRBS1/1pp5/2B1pp2/1K2P3/1RB1s1b1/3P1kP1/1PP5/RS6 stip phser-dia 12 forsyth 4R1S1/1pp5/2B1pp2/1K1BP3/1R2s3/3Pk1P1/1PPqb2S/2B4R

Of course, phSer-dia could be combined with fairy conditions. But the examples will follow in the next issue.
3) P. Rãican
(v) CPB4/ 2014 after U. Heinonen


1-P0008560
Unto Heinonen
Springaren 1996


## Murfatlar Tourney for Proof Games $3^{\text {rd }}$ edition

This year, the World Congress of Chess Composers was canceled. But our tourney must go on.

Theme: Proof Games in which Point Reflection condition must be presented. The authors could add another fairy condition, but not fairy pieces.

Definition: When two pieces of any colour stand on the squares which are symmetric to the central point of the chessboard (e.g. a1-h8, g3-b6), they exchange their role (i.e. power of movement). A Pawn on the first rank and its corresponding unit on the eight rank cannot move by themselves. Only non-reflected K and R can castle, and only non-reflected Ps can make en passant captures.

## Examples:

1) O'Donovan: 1.b3 h6 2.Ba3 he3 3.Bd6 e3-c5 4.Bg3 d6 5.f3 Sd7 6.e2-d4 b6 7.Be2 ba5 8.Be2-c1 Sb6 9.Bg3-f1.

Here, this condition is skilfully used to show Bishop
 Platzwechsel. Jacobi+ in about 34 hours.
2) P. Rãican

StrateGems 89/2020


[^0]2) Rãican: 1.e4 Sh6 2.Bb5 Sf5 3.Bc6 bxc6 4.c4 Ba6 5.cd6 Bc4 6.dxe7 Bxe7 7.dh6 Bh4 8.Bg5 Ke5 9.Qd4+ Kb5 10.Sd2 Bc4e5 11.Rd1 Be8 12.Rh5 Bxf2+ 13.Ka1 cg3 14.h4 Qa5 15.hf4 Qa3 16.R5h2 a4 17.h3 Ra5 18.Bh4 g5 19.Qg7+ Rb4 20.bf6 Rg8 21.Qh8 Rg6 22.Ke5 Sd4\#

Triple Bristol on file a (QPR), triple Bristol on diagonal a1h8 (QPK), Bristol on file h (RP), all with an exotic condition. The theme required at WCCC Vilnius, Champagne 2019.

This condition was introduced first time at Sake Tourney, Vilnius 2019, by Japan delegates.

Deadline: November 15, 2020.
Judge: P. Rãican, quarpaz1@yahoo.fr
Prize: Bottles of Murfatlar (delivered at the next WCCC)

## En bref

- It was a pleasant surprise to receive from Kevin Begley (this June) a version of our demolished proof game, Quartz 35/2010 (P1114941 in PDB). After some change of messages, we agreed on the following correction, which seems safe:

Solution: (the condition is PWC)
1.e4 c5 2.Ba6 Sxa6 [+wBb8] 3.Bxa7 [+bPb8] Rxa7 [+wBa8] 4.Bxb7 [+bPa8] Bxb7 [+wBc8] 5.Bxd7 [+bPc8]+ Qxd7 [+wBd8] 6.Bxe7 [+bPd8] Kxe7 [+wBe8] 7.Bxf7 [+bPe8] Kf6 8.Bxg8 [+bSf7] Kg6 9.Bxh7 [+bPg8]+ Rxh7 [+wBh8] 10.Bxg7 [+bPh8] Bxg7 [+wBf8] 11.Kf1 (only now) Bc3 12.Sxc3 [+bBb1] Bxa2 [+wPb1] 13.Rxa2 [+bBa1] Bxb2 [+wPa1] 14.Bxb2 [+bBc1] Bxd2 [+wPc1] 15.Qxd2 [+bBd1] Bxc2 [+wPd1] 16.Qxc2 [+bBd2] Be1 17.Bxc5 [+bPf8] Bxf2 [+wPe1] 18.Kxf2 [+bBf1] Bxg2 [+wPf1] 19.Kg3 Bh3 20.Sxh3 [+bBg1] Bxh2 [+wPg1]+ 21.Rxh2 [+bBh1] Bhxe4 [+wPh1] 22.Sxe4 [+bBc3] Sxc5 [+wBa6]

An attractive image of all white and black Pawns. We hope that this version will survive. My own wish is for Kevin to return to composing.

Kevin Begley \& P. Rãican correction of 774, Quartz 35/2010



- Gabriel Nedeianu (b.1960) rarely makes chess problems. When he does it, he produces light compositions, like this ( $\mathrm{CE}=$ moves like a Knight+Fers+Wazir).
Sol: 1.Bb4 CExb4 2.Sa2(Sb1) CEc2(CEb3)\#
WinChloe+
This problem brings to the fore a neglected piece, the Centaur.

Centaur: fairy piece which moves like a Knight and King, alternating roles.
$\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{FE}+\mathrm{VI}$ : fairy piece which moves like a Knight or Fers or Wazir, at his disposal.

- Another big surprise: a reunion after 50 years with Aurel Cojocaru. He is a chess partner and friend, which I knew first time at "Cãluțul de Fildeș", a chess competition for children, organized in the communist era. Our meeting took place at the beautiful
Guest House Casa Varvara from Somova, near Tulcea.


Aurel Cojocaru (right), solving an \#2. He needs a few minutes only.


3+4 \#2
b) $\mathrm{Bd} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{e} 8$

Photo: Sanda Paladini

- Quartz will be in 202125 years old. We want to mark this event by editing a number 51 with many articles. Who wants to contribute?
- Mario Parrinello 60 Jubilee - thematic Tourney

Judge: Petko A. Petkov (Bulgaria)
Are required Helpselfmates ( $\mathrm{HS} \neq$ ) in n moves with any kind of cyclic play; all fairy pieces and conditions are allowed. The problems must be cheked ( $\mathrm{C}+$ ) by any known solving program.
Example:

Mario Parrinello
Italy - Argentina 2009-10
$1^{\circ}$ Place


11+6 3 sol hs\#3
Anticirce, Rook-Lion d2

Solutions:
1.Rh8 Rg4 2.Qf8 Kf2 3.Qxc5(Qd1)+ Bxh8(Bf8)\# 1.Rb4 Se6 2.Qh8 Kh2 3.Qxb2(Qd1)+ Rxb4(Rh8)\# 1.Rb7 Bf6 2.Qg8 Kg2 3.Qxc4(Qd1)+ Sxb7(Sg8)\# Zilahi ciclico.
All problems will be submitted to the judge anonymously. Send originals by e-mail to the tournament director Antonio Garofalo, perseus@bestproblems.it Deadline 31/12/2020.

## WCCT11 - retro section Examples

Theme (proposed by Ukraine): Proofgames are required. Square $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the home square for an unpromoted piece $\boldsymbol{X}$. In the course of solution the following elements occur at least once (in any order):
1.The original piece $X$ and/or its original sibling is captured (King's Bishop and Queen's Bishop are not considered siblings).
2.A pawn of the same colour promotes to a piece of the same type as $\boldsymbol{X}$. This promoted piece then visits square $A$ (Pronkin effect). It is not required to stay on this square.
3.A pawn of the opposite colour promotes on square A. This promoted piece is later captured (Ceriani-Frolkin effect).

The following problems are new examples for this theme.


E2) G. Sobrecases \& M. Caillaud Die Schwalbe 2010

$13+12$

E3) M. Caillaud
Die Schwalbe 2008

$14+13$
PG21

E1) (Donati): $1 . \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{a} 52 . \mathrm{Bb} 5 \mathrm{a} 43 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{a} 34 . \mathrm{Sc} 3 \mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} 25 . \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{~b} 1=\mathbf{S}$ $6 . \mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Sa} 3$ 7.Ba4 Sb 5 8.a6 Sd4 9.a7 Sdc6 10.a $\times \mathbf{b 8}=\mathbf{S}$ d6 11.Sd7 Sb8 $12 . S \times f 8+K \times f 8$ wcet11 + Reitsen theme: indirect unpin with a promoted piece in a shortest proof game.

E2) (Sobrecases \& Caillaud): 1.h4 b5 2.h5 b4 3.h6 b3 4.h $\times \mathrm{g} 7$ h5 5.g $\times \mathbf{f 8}=\mathbf{S}$ h4 6.Se6 d×e6 7.Sc3 Q3 8.e $\times \mathrm{d} 3 \mathrm{~h} 3$ 9.Be2 h $\times \mathrm{g} 2$ 10.Sh3 g1=B 11.Bg4 Bh2 12.Qf3 Bd6 13.Ke2 Ba3 14.b $\times$ a3 b2 15.Re1 b $\times \mathbf{c 1 =}=\mathbf{B}$ 16.Sg1 Bb2 17.Rad1 Ba1! 18.Sb1 Bg7 19.c3 Bf8 Thematic square f8. The Pronkin piece make a tempo move, Knights switchback.

E3) (Caillaud): 1.d4 Sc6 2.d5 Se5 3.Qd4 Sf3+ $4.9 \times f 3$ g5 5.Bh3 g4 6.Bg5 g3 7.Bg4 g2 8.Sh3 g1=S 9.f4 Sf3+10.Kd1 Se5 11.d6 Sc6 12. $\mathrm{d} \times \mathrm{c} 7 \mathrm{Sb} 8$ 13.c $\times \mathbf{b 8}=\mathbf{S}$ Qb6 14.Sa6 b×a6 15.c4 Bb7 16.c5 0-o-0 17.c6 Ba8 18.c7 Kb7 19.c8=R h6 20.Rc6 Rb8 21.Rf6 exf6 Thematic square b8, Pronkin piece captured + Rook Ceriani-Frolkin.

E4) (Baier): 1.f4 h5 2.f5 h4 3.f6 h3 4.f×é $7 \mathrm{~h} \times \mathrm{g} 2$ 5.e $\times$ f8 $=$ B Rh3 6.Bb4 Ra3 7.h4 a5 8.h5 axb4 9.Rh4 b3 10.Ra4 g5 11.e4 g4 12.Bc4 g3 13.Se2 g1=B 14.e5 Bc5 15.e6 Bf8 16.e7 g2 17.e $\times$ f8 $=$ B g1=B 18.Bb4

E4) S. Baier
1 Prize, Olympic Tourney 2012

$13+13$
PG21 Bc5 19.Ra7 Bf8 20.Kf2 c5 21.Ke3 cxb4 2 x Pronkin on f8 and 2 x Ceriani-Frolkin. Both wBs captured on b4.

E5) K. Prentos \& A. Frolkin
Comm, Die Schwalbe 2013

$14+13$
PG22

E6) M. Caillaud 1HM, Orbit 2013


E7) S. Baier
Dupont 50JT, Phenix 2014

$14+14$
PG27

E5) (Prentos \& Frolkin): 1.f4 h5 2.f5 h4 3.f6 h3 $4 . \mathrm{f} \times \mathrm{e} 7 \mathrm{~h} \times \mathrm{g} 2$ 5.e $\times \mathbf{d 8}=\mathbf{S}$ Rh3 $6 . \mathrm{Sc} 6 \mathrm{Rd} 37 . \mathrm{e} \times \mathrm{d} 3 \mathrm{~d} \times \mathrm{c} 6$ 8.Qh5 Be6 9.Sf3 g1=R 10.Kf2 Rg6 11.Be2 f5 12.Rd1 f4 13.Se1 f3 14.Ke3 f2 15.a4 f1=Q 16.a5 Qf7 17.Ra4 Ba2 18.b3 Rh6 19.Ba3 Rh8 20.Be7 Sh6 21.Qc5 Qd5 22.Sa3 Qd8 wcct11 (d8 thematic) + Reitsen theme + PhœnixPronkin Rh8

E6) (Caillaud): $1 . \mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{~b} 6$ 2.Bf4 Ba6 3.e3 $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{f} 14 . \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{Ba} 65 . \mathrm{a} 5 \mathrm{Bc} 86 . \mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} 6$ a5 7.b7 Ra6 8.b $\times \mathbf{c 8}=\mathbf{S}$ Rg6 9.Sd6+ e×d6 10.Be5 Be7 11.f4 Bg5 12.f5 Bh6 13.f×g6 f5 14.Ce2 f4 15.o-o f3 16.Kh1 f2 17.Rg1 f1=B 18.Sc1 Ba6 19.Ra4 Bc8 20.Rc4 a4 21.g4 a3 22.g5 a2 23.Rg4 a1=R 24.Qg1 Ra8 wcct11 + B circuit + Ra8 Phoenix-Pronkin

E8) Roberto Osorio 1 HM, FIDE $6^{\text {th }}$ Cup 2018

$11+15$

PG24.5

E7) (Baier): 1.a4 e5 2.Ra3 e4 3.Rf3 exf3 4.e4 d6 5.e5 Bg4 $6 . e 6$ f5 7.e7 Rf7 8.e8=R c5 9.Re6 Qb6 10.Rg6 h×g6 11.a5 Rh3 12.a $\times$ b6 Rg 3 13.h4 a5 14.h5 a4 15.h6 a3 16.h7 a2 17.h8=R a1=Q 18.R8h4 Bh5 19.Ra4 Qa2 20.d4 Qd5 21.c4 Sc6 22.c×d5 c4 23.Kd2 c3+ 24.Kd3 c2 25.Bd2 c1=Q 26.Bc3 Qg5 27.Ra1 Qd8 2 x wcet (thematic squares a1 and d8).

E8) (Osorio): 1.e3 h5 2.Qg4 h×g4 3.Bb5 Rh4 4.Bc6 d $\times \mathrm{c} 6$ 5.d4 Kd7 6.d5 Qe8 7.d6 Ke6 8.d7 Kf5 9.d8=Q Be6 10.Qd1 Sd7 11.Qf3+ g×f3 12.c4 Rg4 13.c5 Bc4 14.h4 e6 15.h5 Se7 16.h6 Sc8 17.h7 Be7 18.Rh6 Bh4 19.h8=Q g5 20.Qd4 f6 21.Sc3 Qf7 22.Sce2 fxe2 23.Qd1 e $\times \mathrm{d} 1=$ B $24 . \operatorname{Rg} 6$ Bdb3 $25 . \mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} 3$ Two pieces PhoenixPronkin (wQd1) captured by same Pawn, which becomes the piece Ceriani-Frolkin. A superb strategy.


Pierre Brice and Lex Barker as Winnetou and Old Shatterhand


[^0]:    13+15
    Point Reflection

